Case: 1:19-cv-00145-DAP Doc #: 234-3 Filed: 04/05/19 1 of 4. PageID #: 4464

EXHIBIT C

1

1 the Department of Education, whether those were funds that 2 were allocated to specific students and should be paid out. 3 So, Ms. Whitmer, what light can you shed based on the 4 further work that you have done regarding stipend funds, 10:49:00 5 specifically? 6 MS. WHITMER: Your Honor, it's a matter of 7 investigation. We are going to need to really dig down into 8 this issue and figure out the who, the what, the when, and 9 the why because the fund, as we understand what happened, 10:49:22 10 which again, is very preliminary. We only learned of these 11 default cases on February 20th, and we learned on February 12 20th because two of the employees talked to us about how 13 this had been done. 14 So in those conversations, they described the entries. 10:49:51 15 After I filed Docket Number 68, I had an e-mail from a 16 student who forwarded to me his account statement, and that 17 account statement showed the voiding of the -- of the entry. It showed the credit balance for the student, it showed the 18 19 payment of the student stipend, and then it showed the 10:50:19 20 voiding of the payment of the student stipend, and that --21 THE COURT: What were the dates on the e-mail? 22 When did those specific activities occur? 23 MS. WHITMER: The payment, the posting of the 24 payment to the student was January 18th, the date that the 10:50:44 25 Receiver was appointed. And the voiding of the entry

1 and there was another one. And they pretty much told us 2 very forthrightly what had been happening. 3 THE COURT: Do you have the names of those 4 individuals somewhere? 11:11:29 5 MS. WHITMER: Oh, yes. 6 THE COURT: All right. 7 MS. WHITMER: We can identify those people. 8 So they gave us that information, and then we realized that 9 we had a very, very difficult problem at hand because it's one thing to try to get the Department of Education to relax 11:11:48 10 11 its standards and allow and pay under this Heightened Cash 12 Management system the student stipends or advance them when 13 it wouldn't otherwise do that. But, to try to get the 14 Department of Education to pay them twice was not -- I did 11:12:12 15 not recognize it then or now as a true possibility. 16 THE COURT: All right. So let me stop you if I could. And I appreciate what 17 18 you're providing us. It's helpful information. 19 You've obviously seen the February 27th letter from 11:12:29 20 Mr. Frolo. 2.1 MS. WHITMER: Oh, indeed. 22 THE COURT: It includes a number of statements 23 regarding payments or releases of funds and so forth. 24 you're saying is consistent to a degree with what he has 11:12:45 25 reported in the letter. He indicates on February 7th the